



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/001,412	10/25/2001	Patrick C. Herbert	01AB013	4213
75	90 07/08/2003			٠
Susan M. Donahue Rockwell Automation, 704-P 1201 South Second Street			EXAMINER	
			NGUYEN, VINH P	
Milwaukee, WI 53204			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		2829	
			DATE MAILED: 07/08/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<i>_</i>				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/001,412	HERBERT ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		VINH P NGUYEN	2829				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status	Decembring to communication(s) filed on 26 (Octobor 2001					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 C						
2a) □	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
•	on of Claims		•				
4) Claim(s) 1-34 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
-	☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
•	Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	alaction requirement					
•—	Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> are subject to restriction and/or endormal on Papers	election requirement.					
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
	1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
I S Datent and T	rademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 10/001,412

Art Unit: 2829

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

- A) species of figure 1,
- B) species of figure 2,
- C) species of figure 3,
- D) species of figures 4-5,
- E) Species of figures 7-8,
- F) Species of figures 9,
- G) Species of figure 10-11,
- H) Species of figure 12

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, it appears that no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after

Application/Control Number: 10/001,412

Art Unit: 2829

Page 3

the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP §

809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct,

applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to

be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the

examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission

may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

2. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an

election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR

1.143).

3. A telephone call was made to the office of Mr. Pienkos on 06/30/03 to request an oral

election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to VINH P. NGUYEN whose telephone number is (703) 305-4914.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should

be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4900.

VINH P. NŒUŸEN

PRIMARY EXAMINER

ART UNIT 2829

06/30/03